

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/403,940	-	01/12/2000	LUDWIG WILDT	990667/RSB	7875
1933	7590	09/10/2003			
	•	Z, GOODMAN &	EXAMINER		
767 THIRD 25TH FLOC	R		GAKH, YELENA G		
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1743	
•			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o.	App nt(s)						
		09/403,940		WILDT ET AL.						
· · · · (Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Yelena G. Gak	h, Ph.D.	1743						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 10	June 2003								
	<u> </u>	nis action is non-	-final		•					
,	nce this application is in condition for allow			osecution as to the m	nerits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 18-29 is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Cla	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22 and 29</u> is/are rejected.									
7)∏ Clai	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
•	m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requir	ement.							
Application F	•									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 January 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
م کے ہے۔ 1.		s have heen red	reived							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<u> </u>	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice of D	references Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15						

Application/Control Number: 09/403,940

Art Unit: 1743

. 1

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment and response filed on 06/10/03 are acknowledged. Claims 18-29 are pending in the Application. Claims 18-22 and 29 are elected with traverse. Claims 23-28 are withdrawn from consideration as drawn to the non-elected invention.

2. In response to the Applicants' arguments regarding restriction requirements the examiner emphasizes that the pending claims 18-29 grouped in Groups I and II corresponding to the method and apparatus claims lack the unity of invention, since their common technical feature, i.e. an end expiratory gas from an animal or a human and a signal obtained from this gas, is well known in the art, see all references cited below, and therefore is not a special technical feature, which makes the restriction proper and FINAL.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Application/Control Number: 09/403,940 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

4. The amended abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not properly reflect the subject matter disclosed in the specification, excluding the claims. Nowhere does the specification disclose "generating a signal according to the comparing of values in step (d)", which is unclear and indefinite step of the method. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 18-21 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites in step (d) "comparing values from step (c) with a table of values" and in step (e) "generating a signal according to the comparing of values in step (d)". It is not clear, what "values" are meant here – are these values from the same patient taken at previous times, are these standard values, are these predetermined values? No "table of values" is disclosed in the specification, and therefore this definition is vague. Step (e) is completely unclear. What is meant by "generating a signal according to the comparing of values"? What signal? How can it be generated from comparison of two values? It is difficult for anyone of ordinary skill in the art to interpret this step in a sensible meaning for practicing the invention. Moreover, no such step is disclosed in the specification, which renders the claim unclear and indefinite, as well as lacking antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/403,940 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 18-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Casparie et al. (US 5,069,220)

Casparie teach a method for monitoring lung functioning by measuring an end exhaled CO₂ in a certain volume by NDIR spectroscopy (col. 6, lines 1-6), comparing the value obtained with the predetermined value, e.g. of CO₂ in inhaled breath, and generating an alarm signal if the value falls beyond the predetermined limits. He discloses "precise control over the sampling period to assure the extraction of samples only during the end tidal region, without relying on the sensing of relatively large respiratory gas flows (col. 2, lines 1-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 09/403,940

Art Unit: 1743

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

12. Claims 21 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voll, Casparie in view of the prior art cited by the Applicants (PA) or Savadi et al. (Ind. J. Medic. Research).

Casparie does not teach applying his method of monitoring CO₂ in exhale breathe for predicting ovulation

PA or Savadi disclose a correlation between the CO₂ content in exhale breathe and ovulation, which makes it obvious for anyone of ordinary skill in the art to apply the method of Casparie for predicting ovulation because of the clear correlation between this physiological process and CO₂ content, demonstrated by PA and Savadi.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Weinfurt et al. (US 3,811,040) disclose an algorithm for representing physiological parameters, including pO₂ and pCO₂ and their deviation from normal predetermined parameters, Neumann et al. (US 4,356,475), Raemer (4,648,396), Wong (US 4,928,703), Culver et al. (US 5,45,160) teach a method for monitoring lung functioning by measuring exhaled CO₂ in a certain volume by IR spectroscopy, comparing the value obtained with the predetermined value, e.g. of CO₂ in inhaled breath, and generating an alarm signal if the value falls beyond the predetermined limits; Howard (US 5,060,656) teaches "a method for analyzing a metabolic rate by producing proportional sample of the expired gas", including CO₂, and measuring "CO₂ content in the expired gas from the rate of pressure decay in the test chamber, conducting a volumetric analysis of the proportional sample to determine total volume of expired gas, and then correlating O₂ content, CO₂ content and total volume of expired gas to provide a measure of the metabolic rate of the subject being studied" (Abstract). The method comprises comparing the values obtained with the previous results with the signal generated, which reflects the changes (see Fig. 14-16).

Application/Control Number: 09/403,940 Page 6

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5906. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Helve Hale

Yelena G. Gakh

9/4/03